



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5

77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD
CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.



256378

ACTION MEMORANDUM

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF SE-5J

DATE: **FEB 27 2006**

SUBJECT: ACTION MEMORANDUM - Request for a Ceiling Increase for the Calumet Container Site, Hammond, Lake County, Indiana (Site ID# 0566)

FROM: Verneta Simon, On-Scene Coordinator
Response Section III

TO: Richard C. Karl, Director
Superfund Division

THRU: Linda M. Nachowicz, Chief
Emergency Response Branch

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this memorandum is to document and obtain your approval to expend up to \$2,361,027, to complete the removal action started at the Calumet Container (Site) on September 28, 2005. This current removal is the third U.S. EPA removal action at this site. The other removals were in calendar years 1982 and 1984. This third removal was funded for \$1,732,146 on November 4, 2002, and then a ceiling increase of \$508,847 was approved on December 12, 2005. On December 12, 2005, this Site received an exemption to the \$2 million statutory limit. An additional \$225,940 is needed due to inclement weather and restoration costing more than estimated.

This Site continues to meet the criteria for initiating a removal action pursuant to the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 C.F.R. § 300.415, and the criteria for the \$2 million limit exemption under Section 104 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9604(c). This action is expected to take 30 working days.

II. SITE CONDITIONS AND BACKGROUND

CERCLIS ID# IND 980 500 193
Removal Category: Time Critical
SITE ID # 0566

A. Site Description

1. Removal site evaluation

A removal assessment was conducted from February through May 2002 which documented elevated levels of hazardous substances.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

2. Physical location

The Site is located in Indiana and has an address of 3631 State Line Avenue, Hammond, Indiana.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

3. Site characteristics

This Site occupies 7.7 acres (revised per February 2005 survey) and is bounded by 136th Street, otherwise known as Boy Scouts Drive, the Illinois-Indiana state line, and a line of the Indiana Harbor Belt Railroad.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

4. NPL status

This Site is not on or proposed for the National Priorities List(NPL).

B. Other Actions to Date

1. Previous actions

U.S. EPA conducted removal actions in calendar years 1982 and 1984. The immediate removal action in 1982 resulted in 30 cubic yards of sludge and 5,500 gallons of contaminated liquids being disposed off-site for a total cost of \$25,478. In 1984, U.S. EPA conducted a planned removal for \$319,000. The scope of the planned removal was a surface cleanup of containerized liquids, solids, and sludges, which were stored in 69 semi-trailers, and excavation and disposal of grossly contaminated soil. For the planned removal, 5,000 gallons of hazardous waste were sent off-site for incineration and 1,345 tons of crushed drums, solid waste materials, and contaminated soils were sent to an off-site landfill. Funding for the planned removal included funds from the states of Illinois and Indiana, \$11,842 and \$19,138, respectively. U.S. EPA spent \$639,527.65 in performing these removal actions and recovered \$472, 171.49. The City of Hammond, Indiana, provided the funds for the State of Indiana.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

2. Current actions

As explained above, U.S. EPA began the time-critical removal activities outlined in the November 2002 Action Memorandum on September 28, 2005. When it became apparent in November 2005, that funding would be exhausted, additional funds were received on December 12, 2005. The status of each activity as of February 14, 2006, is highlighted in italics as follows:

- 1) Remove and dispose of 14 drums/overpacks; *completed.*
- 2) Assess the integrity of the monitoring wells and abandon the wells; *completed.*
- 3) Remove the scrap, tankers, and other metal debris; *completed.*
- 4) Excavate approximately 19,250 yards of contaminated soils¹; *ongoing, 20,400 cubic yards excavated as of February 14, 2006.*
- 5) Characterize waste and dispose of accordingly; *ongoing, 30,600 tons to Forest Lawn Landfill, Three Oaks, Michigan as of February 14, 2006.*
- 6) Restore excavated areas; *ongoing, 19,526 tons of tunnel sand placed as of February 14, 2006.*

The funding approved in the December 2005 ceiling increase Action Memorandum was not sufficient because (1) several grids did not pass during the confirmation sampling phase, which meant more soil needed to be excavated; (2) the amount of contaminated soil and drums along the east railroad track was more than anticipated; and (3) more backfill was needed. As of February 14, 2006, we have excavated and shipped about 190 tons to Forest Lawn Landfill. The railroad excavation is ongoing, and is expected to be completed by the time we complete this Action Memorandum.

The three remaining activities on-site are backfilling to the previous grade with sand and topsoil, hydroseeding, and installation of the perimeter fencing on the east and west side of the Site. Right now, we have snowfencing and a security guard during after hours. As soon as the perimeter fencing is installed, after hours security can be terminated.

Please see the Administrative Record for the Pollution Reports (POLREPS) and other supporting documentation.

C. State and Local Authorities' Roles

1. State and local actions to date

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) performed a follow-up investigation at the Site in November 2001. This follow-up investigation involved two surface

¹The excavation depth is 2 feet, which is based on the latest guidance drafted by the National Technical Work Group (TRW) for lead.

soil locations and three monitoring well locations. These locations were analyzed for Total RCRA metals, PCBs/Pesticides, VOCs, and SVOCs. Results were as follows:

Soil Location S-1: Barium - 1,700 parts per million (ppm)
Cadmium - 93 ppm
Chromium - 1,300 ppm
Lead - 3,800 ppm

Soil Location S-2: Barium - 6,000 ppm
Cadmium - 590 ppm
Chromium - 4,600 ppm
Lead - 46,000 ppm

Results for SVOCs and VOCs were below the State of Indiana Risk Integrated System of Closure (RISC) clean-up protocols. This investigation also noted two semi-tanker trailers (empty) and approximately 8-10 drums of unknown contents. Site fencing had some openings for public access, and there was evidence of trespassing.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum, dated December 12, 2005, and the POLREPs in the Administrative Record. To summarize the POLREPS, IDEM has arranged for disposal of the tires found on the “empty” tankers and mixed with the “clean” scrap. In addition, IDEM performed well sampling in 2004 and 2005 to determine the groundwater flow and impact of the Site on groundwater. The City of Hammond helped to keep vehicular accidents to a minimum by clearing the right-of-way of trash like furniture and tall Feather Reed Grass (*Phragmites australis*), and posting signs, “Slow Down Work Site Ahead” along 136th Street. Both IDEM and Hammond have been helpful in addressing the green fluorescent liquid. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA) sent a copy of their Applicable, Relevant, and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs), which are contained in the Administrative Record.

2. Potential for continued State/local response

Following the Agency’s initial removal action activities at the Site, the Site was transferred to the states for final cleanup action. A final cleanup was never conducted by either the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA) or IDEM and the Region is unaware of plans by either agency to perform a final cleanup at the Site.

Since September 2005, U.S.EPA’s Superfund Division Redevelopment Coordinator, Tom Bloom, has been meeting with Lake County, the City of Hammond, IDEM, and community stakeholders to discuss potential reuse of the Site. Currently, it appears that biking and hiking trails will be put in by the local stakeholders. Revegetation and wetland restoration activities are planned under this response action. Phytoremediation is planned for contaminated soils in the pipeline easement area because the contamination was too close to pipelines to be safely excavated.

Continued groundwater monitoring and institutional controls restricting on-site groundwater use will be necessary. Post-removal site control is planned to be conducted by IDEM, Illinois EPA, and the City of Hammond.

III. THREAT TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR WELFARE OR THE ENVIRONMENT, AND STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

A. Threats to Public Health or Welfare

The conditions at the Site meet the criteria for a removal action as stated in the National Contingency Plan (NCP) at 40 CFR §300.415 (b) (2). In addition, the pipeline easement was not addressed under this removal since the pipelines were too close to the contamination to be safely remediated. Therefore, phytoremediation will be done in the easement. The easement is 100 feet wide and runs the width of the Site. The pipeline easement contains five pipelines, four that contain oil and one nitrogen. These pipelines are owned by Praxair, West Shore Pipeline, and Calumet Pipeline.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

B. Threats to the Environment

As mentioned earlier, sampling by IDEM and U.S. EPA have demonstrated widespread contamination by various hazardous substances throughout the Site. Our recent removal activities eliminated all surface and subsurface contamination except in the pipeline easement, which will be released by phytoremediation.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

IV. ENDANGERMENT DETERMINATION

Given the Site's conditions, the nature of the hazardous substances on-site and the potential exposure pathways described above, actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances from the Site, if not addressed by implementing the response action selected in this Action Memorandum, may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health, or welfare, or the environment.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

V. EXEMPTION FROM STATUTORY LIMITS

Section 104(c) of CERCLA as amended by SARA, limits a Federal emergency response to \$2 million unless three criteria are met. This Site warrants an exemption based on the following factors:

1. There is an immediate risk to public health or welfare or the environment;

Please refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

2. Continued response actions are immediately required to prevent, limit, or mitigate an emergency;

Please refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

3. Assistance will not otherwise be provided on a timely basis.

Please refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

VI. PROPOSED ACTIONS AND ESTIMATED COSTS

The action outlined in the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002, are the same except that additional funding is needed for the clean-up contractor and contingencies. Detailed clean-up contractor costs are presented in Attachment 3, and estimated project costs are summarized below:

REMOVAL PROJECT CEILING ESTIMATE

Regional Allowance Costs:	Current:	Increase:	Proposed:
Total Cleanup Contractor, including 15% contingency	\$1,950,000	\$205,400	\$2,155,400
Total START, including multiplier	\$91,000	\$0	\$91,000
Extramural Subtotal	\$2,041,000	\$205,400	\$2,246,400
Extramural Contingency (10%)	\$94,087	\$20,540	\$114,627
TOTAL REMOVAL PROJECT CEILING	\$2,135,087	\$225,940	\$2,361,027

Threats posed by the soils meet the criteria listed in §300.415(b)(2) of the NCP and were consistent with the removal action performed. U.S. EPA believes the actions taken will not impede any future response activity and will require post-removal site control on the pipeline easement.

The response action described in this Memorandum directly addresses the contamination documented by U.S. EPA and IDEM, which poses a substantial threat to the public health, welfare, and the environment. These response actions do not impose a burden on the affected property disproportionate to the extent to which that property contributes to the conditions being addressed.

All applicable, relevant, and appropriate requirements (ARARS) will be complied with to the extent practicable. Letters requesting ARARs were sent to Illinois EPA and IDEM on October 11, 2005.

VII. EXPECTED CHANGE IN THE SITUATION SHOULD ACTION BE DELAYED OR NOT TAKEN

Please refer to the Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005.

VIII. OUTSTANDING POLICY ISSUES

There are no outstanding issues.

IX. ENFORCEMENT

For administrative purposes, information concerning confidential enforcement strategy for this Site is contained in the Confidential Enforcement Addendum.

The total EPA costs for this removal action based on full-cost accounting practices that will be eligible for cost recovery are estimated to be \$3,716,870².


²Direct Costs include direct extramural costs and direct intramural costs. Indirect costs are calculated based on an estimated indirect cost rate expressed as a percentage of site-specific direct costs, consistent with the full cost accounting methodology effective October 2, 2000. These estimates do not include pre-judgement interest, do not take into account other enforcement costs, including Department of Justice costs, and may be adjusted during the course of the removal action. The estimates are for illustrative purposes only and their use is not intended to create any rights for responsible parties. Neither the lack of a total cost estimate nor deviation of actual costs from this estimate will affect the United States' right to cost recovery.

$$\begin{array}{rclcl}
 (\$2,361,027 + \$35,280) & + & (55.15\% \times \$2,396,307) & = & \text{total EPA costs for this removal action} \\
 \$2,396,307 & & \$1,321,563 & = & \$3,717,870
 \end{array}$$

X. RECOMMENDATION

This decision document represents the selected emergency action for the Calumet Container Site, located in Hammond, Lake County, Indiana, and developed in accordance with CERCLA, as amended by SARA, and is not inconsistent with the NCP. This decision is based upon the Administrative Record for this Site. Attachment 2 identifies the items that comprise the Administrative Record upon which the selection of the removal is based.

Conditions at the Site continue to meet the NCP 40 C.F.R. § 300.415(b)(2) criteria for a removal and the criteria for a CERCLA Section 104(b) emergency exemption from the \$2 million limit. I recommend your approval of this ceiling increase. You may indicate your decision by signing below:

APPROVE:  DATE 2-27-06
 Director, Superfund Division

DISAPPROVE: _____ DATE _____
 Director, Superfund Division

Confidential Enforcement Addendum

Attachments

1. Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002 (Note: Enforcement Addendum should be redacted according to the "cc" list below.)
2. Action Memorandum dated December 12, 2005 (Note: Enforcement Addendum should be redacted according to the "cc" list below.)
3. Administrative Record Index
4. Independent Government Estimate

cc: David Chung, U.S. EPA, OERR, 5202-G
 Michael Chezik, U. S. Department of Interior, **w/o enforcement addendum**
 Doug Scott, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, **w/o enforcement addendum**

Steve Davis, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, **w/o enforcement addendum**

Elizabeth Admire, Indiana Department of Environmental Management, **w/o enforcement addendum**

Ryan Groves, Indiana Department of Environmental Management, **w/o enforcement addendum**

Gary Doxtater, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, **w/o enforcement addendum**

Ron Novak, Hammond Department of Environment Management, **w/o enforcement addendum**

Maggie Rice, Chicago Department of Environment, **w/o enforcement addendum**

Sadhu Johnston, Chicago Department of Environment, **w/o enforcement addendum**

**ENFORCEMENT CONFIDENTIAL
NOT SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY**

**ENFORCEMENT ADDENDUM UPDATE NO. 3 FOR ACTION MEMORANDUM
REQUESTING CEILING INCREASE**

**CALUMET CONTAINERS SITE
HAMMOND, LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA
FEBRUARY 2006**

(REDACTED 1 PAGE)

**ENFORCEMENT CONFIDENTIAL
NOT SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY**



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5

77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD

CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

1730-10
0000010

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF

ACTION MEMORANDUM

SE-5J

DATE: NOV 14 2002

SUBJECT: ACTION MEMORANDUM - Request to Conduct a Time-Critical Removal Action at the Calumet Container Site, Hammond, Lake County, Indiana (Site ID# 0566)

FROM: Verneta Simon, On-Scene Coordinator
Response Section III

TO: William E. Muno, Director
Superfund Division

THRU: Rick C. Karl, Chief
Emergency Response Branch

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this memorandum is to document the threat and obtain your approval to expend up to \$ 1,732,146 to mitigate the threats identified during a removal assessment at the Calumet Container site. This site is on both Indiana and Illinois land, however, it has been given an Indiana address, 3631 State Line Avenue in Hammond, Indiana. Approximately 90% of the site exists in Indiana and the remaining 10% is in Illinois. Calumet Container has been the subject of two removals in calendar years 1982 and 1984. To date, U.S. EPA has spent \$ 639,527.65, and recovered \$ 472,171.49. Also, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA), the Illinois Attorney General's Office (Illinois AGO), the Indiana Attorney General's Office, the Indiana State Board of Health, and the City of Hammond have spent funds at this site. Whenever records exist, the funds expended by an agency are described in detail in the next section. This removal is classified as time-critical because despite being fenced, trespassing continues. The latest trespassing incident resulted in the site becoming an unofficial "pet cemetery." This removal will require an estimated 90 working days to complete.

The scope of this action is to excavate and dispose of 19,250 cubic yards of contaminated soils. There are two potential post-remediation scenarios that have been proposed by the surrounding community in Illinois and Indiana and the City of Hammond. (Copies of correspondence sent by the constituents of Illinois and Indiana and their respective political entities are contained in Attachment IV.) One scenario is to return the excavated area into wetlands since 50 % of the site

is already a low to medium quality wetlands. Another scenario would be to maintain the pond at Calumet Container, allow the City of Hammond, Indiana to route their light truck route across the site and, develop the remaining property as commercial. The latter scenario would resolve Hammond's problems with trucks in the neighboring residential community, Pulaski Park, and help discourage fly dumping at the site and along Boy Scouts Drive. Boys Scouts Drive is a paved one lane street on the north side of Calumet Container that runs east-west between Hammond, Indiana and Chicago, Illinois. During the Spring, Boys Scout Drive is under water, which could be addressed by an engineering solution like raising the street or culverts. Furthermore, additional revenue would be available to the City of Hammond by developing some property as commercial.

This site is not on the National Priorities List (NPL), does not set any precedents, and is not nationally significant. This site was scored for the NPL but did not meet the qualifier.

II. SITE CONDITIONS AND BACKGROUND

CERCLIS ID# IND 980 500 193

A. Site Description

1. Removal Site Evaluation

On November 15, 2001, IDEM's State Cleanup Program performed a follow-up investigation at Calumet Container. During this investigation, two surface soil locations and three monitoring well locations were chosen for sampling. These locations were analyzed for Total RCRA metals, PCBs/Pests, VOCs, SVOCs. Results were as follows:

Soil Location S -1: Barium - 1, 700 parts per million (ppm)

Cadmium - 93 ppm

Chromium - 1, 300 ppm

Lead - 3, 800 ppm

Soil Location S-2: Barium - 6, 000 ppm

Cadmium - 590 ppm

Chromium - 4, 600 ppm

Lead - 46,000 ppm

Results for SVOCs, VOCs were below the State of Indiana Risk Integrated System of Closure (RISC) clean-up protocols. Also, noted during this investigation were two semi-tanker trailers (empty), and approximately 8-10 drums of unknown contents (solid material), one of these drums has been placed in an open overpack. The site fence had some openings for public access and there was evidence of trespassing.

Due to the above results and observations, U.S. EPA received written permission from the most

current Indiana owners and conducted a removal assessment. The first date for the assessment was February 20, 2002, however, precipitation resulted in shortening the assessment and led to a continuation of the assessment during the week of April 29, 2002. During the week of April 29, 2002, not all assessment activities were completed because field conditions were too rugged for the U.S. EPA-owned geoprobe so the assessment was continued during the week of May 20, 2002. Results of the removal assessment are contained in the Administrative Record.

During this three-month period, U.S. EPA noticed that a "pet" grave appeared to be getting larger and gave verbal notice to West Shore Pipe Line to repair the breaches in the site fence that cross their pipeline right-of-way. On April 29, 2002, West Shore Pipe Line Company repaired the east and west breaches of the site fence. There are large mounds of scrap metal, drums, tankers, plating vat, and other debris.

2. Physical Location

The Calumet Container site occupies 11 acres and is bounded by 136th Street, otherwise known as Boy Scouts Drive, and two lines of the Indiana Harbor Belt Railroad. This site is actually triangular as indicated in Figure 1. Purportedly, the factory occupied 5 ½ acres of this triangular site. Five pipelines traverse this site and are owned by either West Shore Pipe Line Company, Marathon, or Praxair. Land use within 1/4 mile of the site boundary is both industrial and residential. According to the 1990 census, 5,000 people reside within 1/4 mile of the site. A mobile home park is located directly adjacent to the site to the east and another is across 136th Street to the northwest. A small pond and wetland vegetation around its margin are situated in the northeast corner of the property and across 136th Street to the north is Wolf Lake, an interstate fishing and recreational lake. Beyond the rail line to the southwest is another recreational lake, Powderhorn Lake, and the Burnham Woods forest preserve. Lake Michigan is located less than 3 miles to the northeast of the site. Wolf Lake is about 100 yards from the site and Powderhorn Lake is about 60 yards.

3. Site Characteristics

The Calumet Container site formerly housed a factory where drums containing chemicals and paints were emptied, cleaned, repainted, and resold for use. This factory began its operation in the 1960s and continued until April 21, 1982, when a fire caused a total loss of the factory building. On April 22-23, 1982, a U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator inspected this site and this eventually led to an obligation of \$ 25,000 by U.S. EPA for an immediate removal. The immediate removal was to prevent surface and air migration of hazardous substances. This removal began on May 7, 1982 and concluded on May 21, 1982. During this immediate removal, 30 cubic yards of sludge and 5,500 gallons of contaminated liquids were disposed off-site. The total cost of this removal was \$ 25,478.

In October 1982, funds were approved by U.S. EPA for a \$ 319,000 planned removal action. This planned removal action commenced on January 10, 1984 and was completed on February 17, 1984. This planned action involved a surface cleanup of containerized liquids, solids, and sludges, which were mostly stored in 69 semi-trailers that were located throughout the site, and the excavation and disposal of grossly contaminated soil. On page 6 is Figure 2, which shows site conditions for the planned removal action. For this removal, 5,000 gallons of hazardous waste were sent off-site for incineration and 1,345 tons of crushed drums, solid waste materials, and contaminated soil were sent to an off-site landfill.

According to the 1984 On-Scene Coordinator's Report, the Illinois Attorney General's Office had contracted with Soil Testing Services, Inc. of Northbrook, Illinois (STS) ¹ in 1980 to evaluate the extent of ground water contamination in Illinois caused by Calumet Container. The scope of the STS study was to determine the direction of ground water movement and the potential for contaminants to migrate into Illinois. Ground water samples were shown to contain elevated levels of organics some of which were phenolics, toluene, xylene, and heavy metals. Based on a ground water computer model, STS concluded that ground water flow was potentially moving from Calumet Container into Illinois possibly threatening Wolf Lake. For this study, eleven ground water wells were installed. It is unknown if these wells were properly abandoned.

Please note that one of the guidelines of the 1984 planned removal action was for U.S. EPA to provide 90 % of the funds and the states the remaining 10%, or \$ 30,980. Thus, the State of Illinois was credited for the amount of money spent by the IAGO for the STS ground water investigation, or \$ 11,842. The State of Indiana, through a contract with the City of Hammond, provided \$ 19,138.

The 1984 On-Scene Coordinator's Report also stated U.S. EPA would install ground water monitoring wells along the periphery of the site adjacent to Powder Horn Lake, and between Wolf Lake and 136th Street. During August 1984, 10 monitoring wells were installed. According to letter dated June 11, 1984, U.S. EPA would analyze the first round of samples and provide the results to the States of Indiana and Illinois, then the States of Indiana and Illinois were expected to maintain these wells in the future. To date, neither U.S. EPA or IDEM have been able to find any water quality data. This report also stated that the U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator recommended to the owner of Calumet Container, Mr. John Jagiella, that he remove the remaining scrap for its salvage value, grade the area around the factory, and work with the city of Hammond, Indiana to restore use of the land.

¹ Currently known as STS Consultants, Ltd.

HAMMOND
 INDIANA



FIGURE 2 (map from 1984 OSC Report)

According to an Illinois EPA Memorandum dated September 13, 1999, the site time line was as follows:

- December 1985 A fence is put up around Calumet Container
- January 1986 One thousand feet of fence is stolen from around the site.
- July 16, 1986 It was reported that someone was taking contaminated soil from the site to use as residential fill dirt. Soil samples taken from a Hammond, Indiana residential property found elevated levels of mercury.
- February 28, 1990 Calumet Container file is closed. The state of Indiana initiated a CERCLA clean-up of the site.
- March 14, 1991 A consent decree was entered into the U.S. District Court for Northern Indiana. The States of Illinois and Indiana were the plaintiffs and Mr. John Jagiella is the defendant.
- August 30, 1994 Illinois EPA closed enforcement file in memorandum dated August 30, 1994.
- September 5, 1996 Memo regarding telephone conversation with IDEM. This site and all the drums have been cleaned up.

Copies of this Memorandum dated September 13, 1999 and the telephone conversation record of September 5, 1996 are contained in its entirety in the Administrative Record. On November 28, 1989, U.S. EPA settled with 18 responsible parties.

During 1987-1988, IDEM contracted with Hydrosience to perform a remedial investigation. Reports generated as part of this investigation are in the Administrative Record. This investigation we believe was completed, however records are not clear. Furthermore, the reports in the Administrative Record are missing pages.

An Environmental Justice (EJ) analysis was prepared for this site and is contained in Attachment III. In Indiana, the low-income percentage is 29% and the minority percentage is 10%. To meet the EJ concern criteria, the area within 1 mile of the Site must have a population that is twice the state low percentage and/or twice the state minority percentage. That is, the area must be at least 58% low-income and/or 20% minority. At this Site, the low-income percentage is 32% and the minority 9% as determined by Areview EJ analysis. Therefore, this Site does not meet the region's EJ criteria based on demographics as identified in "Region 5 Interim Guidelines for Identifying and Addressing a Potential EJ Case, June 1998".

4. Releases or Threatened Release into the Environment of a Hazardous Substance, or Pollutant, or Contaminant

As mentioned earlier, when U.S. EPA and START arrived on February 20, 2002, there were 14 drums, a break in the fence along the west side of the site and a hole in the fence at the east end of the site. Other observations include patches of dense, ground-cover vegetation and mature trees, an old building foundation, three abandoned tanker trucks in the same location noted during the 1984 removal (See Figure 2), 17 ground water monitoring wells, and large piles of scrap and miscellaneous debris.

Analytical results show that one drum has hazardous waste. This drum has a flashpoint of 80 °F, which is below the criteria level (less than 140 °F) indicating the drum is D001 waste.

X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) screening was conducted on 143 samples, at surface and subsurface intervals, from 53 sample locations. Screening results for lead concentrations in soil indicated the highest was 6,848 parts per million (ppm) or milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg).

According to Dr. Patricia Van Leeuwen, Region V Lead Expert, the soil screening value for lead is 400 ppm in a residential setting, which is based on the U.S. EPA Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic (IUEBK) Model, however, 400 ppm can be used as both screening and cleanup level if there is no data to do a site-specific risk assessment. Furthermore, the 400 ppm value is consistent with the IDEM default closure value for residential (child) direct contact pathways.

Several strategies are available for developing screening levels that could be considered cleanup values for lead in soil at commercial/industrial sites. In general, the Technical Review Workgroup for Lead (TRW) recommends using a value that is appropriate for either the “census region” or the “racial/ethnic group” of interest, whichever is more appropriate. These strategies are summarized in the Blood Lead Concentrations of U.S. Adult Females: Statistics from Phases 1 and 2 of the National Health and Nutrition Evaluation Survey (NHANES III), March 2002, report on the TRW website, and can vary from 794 to 1,079 ppm for Region V. For this Action Memorandum, we used the site-specific value of 1,000 ppm for estimating the worst-case soil volume since it is a conservative number and because the specific post-remediation scenario has not been selected.

Twenty-six soil samples were collected from 24 locations during the site assessment and sent for laboratory analysis. These results were compared to the industrial-use criteria for the U.S. EPA Region III Emergency Removal Guidelines, the State of Indiana Risk Integrated System of Closure (RISC) cleanup protocols, and the Illinois EPA Tiered Approach to Corrective Action Objectives (TACO). The basis for the lead value was discussed on page 7. Below is a brief summary of the hazardous substances found at the site:

Metals

Lead concentrations were determined by the off-site laboratory to range from 2.8 mg/kg to 13,000 mg/kg. At seven sampling locations, the sample result exceeded the lead criteria level (1,000 mg/kg).

Concentrations of cadmium in soils ranged from undetectable to 27,000 mg/kg. Cadmium concentrations exceeded the Region III criteria level (10,000 mg/kg) in soils at three sampling locations.

VOCs and BTEX

Seventeen surface and subsurface soil samples were analyzed for VOCs and BTEX. Results indicated that VOCs or BTEX were detected at concentrations that exceeded the TACO criteria levels at five sampling locations. Individual VOCs that exceeded criteria levels on-site were: ethylbenzene, total xylene, and toluene.

Ethylbenzene concentrations in soil samples ranged from undetectable to 970,000 micrograms per kilogram (ug/kg). The TACO criteria level for ethylbenzene is 400,000 ug/kg and was exceeded in three sampling locations.

Total xylene concentrations in soil samples ranged from undetectable to 4,200,000 ug/kg. At four sampling locations, concentrations of total xylene were exceeded the TACO criteria level of 320,000 ug/kg.

Toluene concentrations ranged from undetectable to 8,400,000 ug/kg. The TACO criteria level for toluene is 650,000 ug/kg and was exceeded in three sampling locations.

SVOCs

Ten surface and subsurface soil samples were analyzed for SVOCs. Criteria levels were not exceeded by any SVOCs like IDEM's sampling in calendar year 2001.

PCBs and Pesticides

Ten surface and subsurface soil samples were analyzed for PCBs and pesticides. No PCBs or pesticides compounds were detected above criteria levels.

TCLP Metals

Four surface soil samples were analyzed for TCLP metals. Concentrations of TCLP lead and TCLP cadmium were exceeded in one sampling location. The criteria is 5 milligrams per liter

(mg/l) for TCLP lead and 1 mg/l for TCLP cadmium. The results were 33.3 mg/l for TCLP lead and 4.17 mg/l for TCLP cadmium.

Figures 3 and 4 show the soils that need to be excavated based on metal and/or VOC concentrations.

B. Other Actions to Date

As described earlier, the removal assessment took three visits before all the necessary data was collected. While collecting the data, two of the three breaches in the fence were repaired on April 29, 2002. On June 25-26, 2002, community updates were held at the American Legion in Hammond, Indiana and Chicago State University in Chicago, Illinois. As a result of these community meetings, two information repositories and a website were setup. On July 31, 2002, U.S. EPA and IDEM presented the results of the Calumet Container removal assessment during a meeting called by the Wolf Lake Visions Implementation Committee at the Hammond, Marina.

A discussion of possible re-use of the 11-acre site followed our presentation with most of the participants favoring wetland restoration (See Attachment IV). U.S. EPA Region 5 Water Division inspected the site on September 30, 2002 to further assess the wetland. On October 4, 2002, the city of Hammond further investigated the "pet grave" and found the remains of two dogs and a racoon.

C. Local Authorities' Roles

1. State and local actions to date

It appears that in the early 1980s, Illinois EPA, IDEM, and the City of Hammond were very involved with this site, but after the 1984 OSC report was issued these local entities failed to complete assessment and cleanup activities at the site. For example, a ground water study was conducted by the Illinois Attorney General's Office, but U.S. EPA could not confirm the results of this investigation. In November 2001, IDEM confirmed the contaminated nature of the site and notified U.S. EPA. However, when U.S. EPA investigated the site on February 20, 2002, U.S. EPA determined that 10 of the 14 drums discovered contain site-derived waste from an IDEM contractor. Further the City of Hammond had incurred \$ 19,138 for remediation and was expected to work with Mr. Jagiella to restore the property. Based on our observations in 2002, it does not appear that either party worked together to restore any portion of the property.




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4

III. THREAT TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR WELFARE OR THE ENVIRONMENT, AND STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

Conditions at the Calumet Container site constitute a threat to public health, welfare, or the environment based upon the factors set forth in Section 300.415 (b)(2) of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). A time-critical response action is an appropriate response. These conditions included, but were not limited to, the following:

- a) actual or potential exposure to nearby human populations, animals, or the food chain from hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants;

Results from sampling by IDEM in 2001 and the 2002 site assessment by U.S. EPA demonstrate there are elevated metals and organics still remaining at Calumet Container. Furthermore, the final report prepared in 1988 by Hydrosience, an IDEM contractor, indicated similar results. Pertinent sections of the 1988 Hydrosience report are reproduced below.

Specifically, the 1988 Hydrosience report stated there was widespread contamination of soil by heavy metals and hazardous organic substances throughout the site. A sample was considered contaminated if heavy metals occurred in concentrations above normal background levels in northern Indiana, or if organic substances that had been identified as hazardous or potentially hazardous were detected. Lead, cadmium, chromium, and cyanide were the most widely occurring inorganic contaminants. Di-n-butyl phthalate, methylene chloride, methyl ethyl ketone, bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, toluene, m-xylene and o-xylene were the most frequently occurring organic contaminants. Methylene chloride and methyl ethyl ketone occurred in more than three-quarters of the samples.

Comments made by Hydrosience on the 1984 U.S. EPA CERCLA clean-up were that most of the highly contaminated soils were removed. However, high levels of lead (greater than 10,000 parts per million (ppm)) remain in the central part of the site, northeast of the CERCLA clean-up zone. Concentrations of lead generally exceed 1,000 ppm in the central and southern area of the site that lie outside the CERCLA clean-up, as indicated in Figure 5.

Organic contaminants were detected at all sample locations. The areas of the highest concentrations of total organics are in the very southern part of the site (6,481 ppm) and the east central part (6,366 ppm). Concentrations of total organics also exceed 1,000 ppm in the north central area.

In general, metals contamination decreased with depth, although there are a few locations where lead and cadmium increased with depth. On the other hand, levels of total organics increased with depth at the same number of locations as they decreased with depth, indicating that migration of organics deeper into the soil profile is common.




FIGURE 5 (1988 Hydroscience report showing 1984 CERCLA Removal)

As mentioned earlier, the predominant metal is lead, which was determined in 1988. According to a report by Hydrosience in 1988, lead, cyanide, di-n-butylphthalate, methylene chloride, and methyl ethyl ketone occurred in three-quarters of the samples. Cadmium, chromium, bis (2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate, toluene, m-xylene, o-xylene occurred in three-quarters to one-half of the samples. Nickel, phenols, di-n-octylphthalate, and ethyl benzene occurred in one-half to one-quarter of the samples. Three metals (arsenic, barium, and mercury) occurred above background levels in less than one-quarter of the samples and 20 of the organic constituents.

Exposure to humans, animals, and the food chain exists. Examples of human exposure are the trespassing incidents like breaches in the fence, site soils used as backfill at a Hammond, Indiana residence, and discovery of the "pet" cemetery. Animals are exposed to various contaminants identified in the surface and subsurface soil samples depending on their interaction with the site. For example, most birds which land on the site are in direct-contact with the contaminated soils since the area backfilled with 162 tons of clay during the 1984 CERCLA removal was very small compared to the relative size of Calumet Container (See Figure 5). Fish may be affected by the site via groundwater due to the hydraulic connection between the site and Powderhorn and Wolf Lakes. The food chain (a sequence of organisms in a community in which each member of the chain feeds on the member below it) pathway exists because the contamination is widespread and affects both soils and groundwater.

- b) high levels of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in soils largely at or near the surface, that may migrate;

As explained above, sampling by IDEM and U.S. EPA have demonstrated widespread contamination by lead and hazardous organic substances throughout this site. In addition, Figure 5 indicates that there were at least two areas of buried metallic objects. To date, we have been unable to determine if these areas were investigated in the past. A copy of the magnetometer survey is contained in the Administrative Record.

- c) weather conditions that may cause hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants to migrate or be released;

This condition is possible because of precipitation. In 1984, the area that was excavated by U.S. EPA was capped with clay in order to significantly retard additional contaminants in leachate. Surface water can migrate off-site, when water from the on-site pond extends outside the fence, thus allowing water to leave the site at that point. Also, surface water that pools in depressions can cause contaminants to migrate deeper into the subsurface and eventually into the groundwater which is either flowing into Wolf Lake or Powderhorn Lake.

IV. ENDANGERMENT DETERMINATION

Given the nature of the hazardous substances on Site, and current conditions, as described in Sections II and III above, failure to implement the activities set forth in this Action

Memorandum would present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health, or welfare, or the environment through direct contact, ingestion, and inhalation. The risk to human health was assessed by applying the Spatial Analysis and Decision Assistance (SADA) software. The SADA software determined there was a risk to human health based on the concentrations of ethylbenzene, m-p xylenes, and toluene on-site. Benzene and o-xylene were found to not pose a risk to human health. Copies of the SADA plots are in the Administrative Record.

V. PROPOSED ACTIONS AND ESTIMATED COSTS

The response actions described in this Action Memorandum will abate the threat posed to human health and the environment by this site. Activities proposed are:

- 1) Remove and dispose of 14 drums/overpacks;
- 2) Assess the integrity of the monitoring wells and abandon;
- 3) Remove the scrap, tankers, and other metal debris;
- 4) Excavate approximately 19,250 yds of contaminated soils²;
- 5) Characterize waste and dispose of accordingly;
- 6) Restore excavated areas.

Detailed cleanup contractor costs are presented in Attachment 1 and estimated project costs are summarized below:

EXTRAMURAL COSTS

Cleanup Contractor	\$ 1,179,913
Contingency (15%)	176,987
Subtotal	\$ 1,356,960
START (Includes Multiplier)	121,500
Extramural Subtotal	\$ 1,478,460
Extramural Contingency (10%)	147,846
TOTAL, EXTRAMURAL COSTS:	\$ 1,626,306

²The excavation depth is 2 feet, which is based on the latest guidance drafted by the National Technical Work Group (TRW) for lead.

INTRAMURAL COSTS:

U.S. EPA Direct Costs

\$30/hr x (1,080 Regional + 108 HQ hrs) \$ 35,640

U.S. EPA Indirect Costs

\$65/hr x (1080 Regional hrs) 70,200

TOTAL, INTRAMURAL COSTS \$ 105,840

TOTAL REMOVAL PROJECT CEILING ESTIMATE \$ 1,732,146

Threats posed by the soils meet the criteria listed in §300.415(b)(2) of the NCP and were consistent with the removal action performed. U.S. EPA believes the actions taken will not impede any future response activity and will not require any post-removal site controls.

The response action described in this Memorandum directly addresses the contamination documented by U.S. EPA and IDEM, which poses a substantial threat to the public health, welfare, and the environment. These response actions do not impose a burden on the affected property disproportionate to the extent to which that property contributes to the conditions being addressed.

All applicable, relevant, and appropriate requirements (ARARS) will be complied with to the extent practicable. Letters requesting ARARs will be sent to Illinois EPA and IDEM once this memorandum is approved.

VI. EXPECTED CHANGE IN THE SITUATION SHOULD ACTION BE DELAYED OR NOT TAKEN

Delayed or non-action will result in contaminants migrating deeper on-site and further off-site. Currently, ground water is thought to move either towards Wolf Lake or Powderhorn Lake, which are both recreational lakes. Surface water depending on weather conditions can migrate off-site or pool in a depression. Weather conditions that can cause off-site migration can occur, for example, when water from the on-site pond extends outside the fence, thus allowing water to leave the site at that point. During 1987-1988, the on-site pond was determined to have a depth of 7 feet. Past depression sampling has indicated that only organics concentrate in depressional areas, metals results did not indicate such a pattern.

VII. OUTSTANDING POLICY ISSUES

The Calumet Container Site contains isolated wetlands, if manmade structures are considered such as railroad tracks on the west and a roadway on the north. If these structures are discounted, the Site is hydraulically connected with Powderhorn Lake and Wolf Lake. Therefore, it would be prudent to coordinate this action with the following state and local agencies: Illinois EPA,

IDEM, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Indiana Department of Natural Resources, and the Cook County Forest Preserve.

The Indiana parcels of Calumet Container are currently zoned I-2 Manufacturing, which is heavy industrial. In Attachment 4, there are interested parties that would like this property to become a wetland and if so, the type of wetland would need to be decided. During initial discussions between the City of Hammond, IDEM, and U.S. EPA, the possibility of an educational wetland was suggested by the City of Hammond where children could interact with nature. The City of Hammond just recently suggested a pond/light truck route and commercial development for Calumet Container. At any rate, there is definitely a need to have this Action Memorandum approved without the post-remediation control(s) being decided given the level of interest and the diverse views, but have the caveat that on-site work will not commence until the post-remediation scenario is decided and any post-removal controls needed are in writing with either the companies or individuals deemed to be responsible parties, property owners, community groups or governmental agencies, etc.

VIII. ENFORCEMENT

For administrative purposes, information concerning confidential enforcement strategy for this site is contained in the Confidential Enforcement Addendum.

The total EPA costs for this removal action based on full-cost accounting practices that will be eligible for cost recovery are estimated to be \$2,313,595³.

IX. RECOMMENDATION

This decision document represents the selected emergency action for the Calumet Container site, located in Hammond, Lake County, Indiana, and developed in accordance with CERCLA, as

³Direct Costs include direct extramural costs and direct intramural costs. Indirect costs are calculated based on an estimated indirect cost rate expressed as a percentage of site-specific direct costs, consistent with the full cost accounting methodology effective October 2, 2000. These estimates do not include pre-judgement interest, do not take into account other enforcement costs, including Department of Justice costs, and may be adjusted during the course of the removal action. The estimates are for illustrative purposes only and their use is not intended to create any rights for responsible parties. Neither the lack of a total cost estimate nor deviation of actual costs from this estimate will affect the United States' right to cost recovery.

amended by SARA, and is not inconsistent with the NCP. This decision is based upon the Administrative Record for this site. Attachment 2 identifies the items that comprise the Administrative Record upon which the selection of the removal is based.

Because the conditions at the site met the NCP § 300.415(b)(2) criteria for a \$1,732,146 removal action, your approval of this request is recommended. The estimated total project costs were \$1,732,146 of which up to \$1,504,806 may be used for cleanup contractor costs. You may indicate your decision by signing below:

APPROVE:  DATE 10/4/02
Director, Superfund Division

DISAPPROVE: _____ DATE _____
Director, Superfund Division

Confidential Enforcement Addendum

Attachments

1. Independent Government Estimate
2. Administrative Record Index
3. Environmental Justice Analysis
4. Correspondence regarding Post-remediation Scenarios

cc: Ray Worley, U.S. EPA, OERR, 5202-G

Michael Chezik, U. S. Department of Interior

Renee Cipriano, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

Steve Davis, Illinois Department of Natural Resources

Elizabeth Admire, Indiana Department of Environmental Management

Gary Doxtater, Indiana Department of Natural Resources

Ron Novak, Hammond Department of Environment Management

BCC PAGE

**CALUMET CONTAINER SITE
HAMMOND, LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA**

**HAS BEEN REDACTED
(1 PAGE)**

NOT RELEVANT TO THE SELECTION OF THE REMOVAL ACTION

**ENFORCEMENT ADDENDUM
OCTOBER 2002**

**CALUMET CONTAINER SITE
HAMMOND, LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA**

**ENFORCEMENT CONFIDENTIAL
NOT SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY**

(REDACTED 4 PAGES)

NOT RELEVANT TO THE SELECTION OF THE REMOVAL ACTION

ATTACHMENT 1
INDEPENDENT GOVERNMENT COST ESTIMATE

**CALUMET CONTAINER SITE
HAMMOND, LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA**

**HAS BEEN REDACTED
(2 PAGES)**

NOT RELEVANT TO THE SELECTION OF THE REMOVAL ACTION

ATTACHMENT 2

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REMOVAL ACTION

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD INDEX FOR CALUMET CONTAINER CORPORATION HAMMOND, INDIANA

ORIGINAL
NOVEMBER 5, 1990

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
1	12/15/80	Muno, W., U.S. EPA	HMERP Committee U.S. EPA	Record of Communication; STS Report Concludes Groundwater and Soils at the Site Are Contaminated	1
2	05/18/79	Herrin, D., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Jagiella, J., Steel Containers, Inc.	Application for an Installation Permit for the Drum Incinerator Afterburner Is Denied	7
3	06/04/79	Herrin, D., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Jagiella, J., Steel Containers, Inc.	Application for an Installation permit for the Drum Incinerator Afterburner Is Denied	5
4	06/20/79	Stresino, E., IN State Board Of Health	Jagiella, M., Calumet Containers Steel Container Corp.	Explanation of Reasons for Denial of Permit Applications	1
5	03/00/80	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Fact Sheet, Groundwater Chemistry Study, Steel Container Corp., Hammond, IN (2-page Report Titled "Potential Hazardous Waste Site, Tentative Disposition" Attached)	4
6	00/00/00	Hedeman Jr., W., U.S. EPA	Lavelle, R., U.S. EPA	Continuation of Removal Activities at Calumet Container Site ACTION MEMORANDUM	3
7	03/10/83	Hedeman Jr., W., U.S. EPA	Thomas, L., U.S. EPA	Authorization to Proceed with Planned Removal Action at the Calumet Containers Site in Hammond, IN ACTION MEMORANDUM (Concurrence Memos from Gene A. Lucero and Lisa K. Friedman of the U.S. EPA)	7

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
8	12/14/83	Van Cleave, H., U.S. EPA	Adamkus, V., U.S. EPA	Action Memorandum for Contract Ceiling Increase for the Planned Removal at the Calumet Container Site, Hammond, IN	3
9	06/30/83	Madany, G., U.S. EPA	U.S. EPA	On-Scene Coordinator's (OSC) Report with All Appendices	3
10	07/25/84	Bitter, P., U.S. EPA	U.S. EPA	On-Scene Coordinator's (OSC) Report, CERCLA Immediate Removal Project, Calumet Container Corporation, Hammond, IN	55
11	05/19/80	Jacobs, M., U.S. EPA	Files U.S. EPA	Pre-Trial Conference With Judge Siegan-Steel Container Corp. (Calumet Containers)	2
12	06/13/79	Schulz, D., U.S. EPA	Novak, R., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Concern Was Expressed By Mr. Novak As to What Is Being Incinerated at the Site. A Drum Was Determined to Contain Amacide, a Parathion Based Pesticide	1
13	06/23/82	Sandberg, H., Alfred Benesch & Co.	Bowers, W., City of Hammond Unsafe Bldgs.	Letter Report on the Physical Condition of the Incinerator and Stack at the Site	1
14	00/00/00	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Parcel Maps, Aerial Photographs and Property Descriptions	6
15	00/00/00	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Parcel Maps, Aerial Photographs and Property Description	6
16	11/09/82	Sanders III, W., U.S. EPA	Constantelos, B., U.S. EPA	Memo Report on Inspection of the Site to Check for Improper Management or Disposal of Hazardous Wastes and Assess Degree of Hazard at the Site	4
17	06/19/79	Singh, J. & Peterson, K., Wapora, Inc.	Jagiella, M., Calumet Containers	Phase I Report - Water Pollution Investigations for Calumet Containers	34

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
18	10/18/80	Sachs, M., Haubert, A & Kastman, K., Soil Testing Services	Chinn, H., IL Atty. General's Office	Evaluation of the Extent of Illinois Groundwater Pollution Near the Calumet Container Company, Division Of Steel Container Corporation, Hammond, IN	89
19	04/26/82	McCone, S., Dourjalian, J. Krueger, K., Ecology and Environment	Bowden, R., U.S. EPA	Letter Report on Survey and Collection of Samples at Calumet Container Company in Hammond, IN	14
20	07/30/82	Madany, G., U.S. EPA	UNKNOWN	Analytical Results from Sampling at Calumet Containers for Pesticides and PCB's	14
21	VARIOUS	Ross, C., U.S. EPA	Regan, G., U.S. EPA	Results of Samples Tested for PCB's and for Other Contaminants	53
22	00/00/00	Steel Containers, Inc.	UNKNOWN	Apparent Response to Newspaper Articles	4
23	07/12/82	Novak, R., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Elam, M., U.S. EPA	City of Hammond Concerned about Site Security in Light of Two Recent Fires at the Site	5
24	01/14/80	The Times		Newspaper Article: "EPA to Split Test Costs"	1
25	VARIOUS	VARIOUS		Series of Newspaper Articles about Activities on or About the Site	29
26	VARIOUS	VARIOUS		Series of Newspaper Articles about Site Activities	28
27	VARIOUS	VARIOUS		Various Newspaper Articles About Site Activities	14
28	04/29/82	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Photographs of Drum Labels Taken at Calumet Container	8
29	03/29/79	Herrin, D., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Schultz, D., U.S. EPA	Cover Letter from Copies of All Emission Information Relative to Calumet Containers	1

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
30	10/11/79	Chinn, H., IL Attorney General's Office	Shandross, R., U.S. EPA	Outline of a Program Proposed by the Illinois Attorney General's to Assess the Current and Potential Impact of Operations and Activities at the Site on Groundwater and Surface Water Pollution	4
31	12/19/83	Bitter, P., U.S. EPA	Roth, S., Hammond Sanitary District	Author Requests Recipient' Policy or Opinion Regarding Discharge of Rinse Water from the Site in Light of Attached Sample Results	1
32	00/00/00	Air Pollution Control Bd. of Indiana		Regulation APC7: A Regulation for setting Standards for the use of An Incinerator	3
33	00/00/00	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Emergency Action Plan	12
34	01/04/84	U.S. EPA, States of Illinois & Indiana		Superfund State Contract for Planned Removal at Calumet Container Site Between the States of IL and IN and the U.S. EPA.	9
35	12/04/78	Jagiella, J., Calumet Container Steel	Karen, J., IN State Board of Health	Letters and Attached Plan to Comply with Requirements of the Indiana State Board of Health, Division of Water Pollution Control	5
36	00/00/00	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Community Relations Plan	5

UPDATE #1
OCTOBER 18, 2002


<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
1	09/00/86	U.S. EPA	File	Geophysical Investigation Report of the Calumet Container Site Conducted June 2-3 and September 9-10, 1986 w/ Attachments	116
2	10/00/88	Hydroscience Associates, Inc.	IDEM	Report: Characterization of Surficial Materials and Soil Sampling Results for the Calumet Container Site	52

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
3	10/04/88	Hydroscience Associates, Inc.	IDEM	Final Report for the Calumet Container Site	97
4	09/05/96	Bynum, S., Illinois EPA	Bureau of Land Files	Memorandum re: Telephone Conversation w/ P. Colcord (IDEM) Concerning Status of the Calumet Container Site	1
5	09/13/99	Cunningham, D., Illinois EPA	Bureau of Land Files	Memorandum re: Historical Problems, Analytical Reports and Site Time Line for the Calumet Container Site	3
6	09/18/02	Aniol, M., Friends of Wolf Lake	Boos, M., Association for the Wolf Lake Initiative	Letter re: Cleanup of the Calumet Container Site	1
7	09/23/02	Kelliher, R., Calumet Ecological Park Association	Skinner, T., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Restoration of the Calumet Container Site to Wetland	1
8	09/23/02	Rosinski, A., Southeast Environmental Task Force	Skinner, T., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Restoration of Calumet Container Site to Wetland	1
9	09/26/02	Quigley, M., Cook County Board of Commissioners	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Restoration of the Calumet Container Site to Wetland Status	1
10	09/27/02	Boos, M., Association for the Wolf Lake Initiative	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	FAX Transmission Forwarding September 16, 2002 Letter from Bowen High School re: Cleanup of the Calumet Container Site	2
11	09/30/02	Cunningham, L., Southeast Chicago Development Commission	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Restoration of the Calumet Container Site to Wetland	1
12	02/00/02	U.S. EPA/OERR	U.S. EPA	Superfund Lead Contaminated Residential Sites Handbook (DRAFT)	111
13	04/00/02	Roy F. Weston, Inc.	U.S. EPA	Site Assessment Report for the Calumet Container Site (Revision 1)	120

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
14	10/00/02	Roy F. Weston, Inc.	U.S. EPA	Site Assessment and Extent of Contamination Report for the Calumet Container Site (Revision 1)	156
15	10/14/02	Roy F. Weston, Inc.	U.S. EPA	Wetland Delineation Report for the Calumet Container Site (Revision 1)	40
16	00/00/00	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Muno, W., U.S. EPA	Action Memorandum: Request to Conduct a Time-Critical Removal Action, Request for an Exemption from the 12-Month Statutory Limit, and Request for an Exemption from the \$2 Million Statutory Limit at the Calumet Container Site (PENDING)	

Region 5 Superfund EJ Analysis

Calumet Container Site Hammond, IN





UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 5
77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD
CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

DEC 12 2005

ACTION MEMORANDUM

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF

SE-5J

DATE:

SUBJECT: ACTION MEMORANDUM - Request for a Ceiling Increase and a CERCLA Removal Action Exemption to the \$2 Million Statutory Limit for the Calumet Container Site, Hammond, Lake County, Indiana (Site ID# 0566)

FROM: Verneta Simon, On-Scene Coordinator
Response Section III

TO: Richard C. Karl, Director
Superfund Division

THRU: Linda M. Nachowicz, Chief
Emergency Response Branch

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this memorandum is to request an exemption from the \$2 million statutory limit, and to request a ceiling increase of \$508,847 to complete the removal action started at the Calumet Container (Site) on September 28, 2005. The increase will bring the total project ceiling to \$2,135,087. This current removal is the third U.S. EPA removal action at this site. The other removals were in calendar years 1982 and 1984. This third removal was funded for \$1,626,240 on November 4, 2002. This removal did not start until September 2005, because of the Agency's ongoing efforts to obtain a voluntary cleanup by potentially responsible parties (PRPs). Additional funds are needed because the contamination was more than expected and is explained in detail in Section B. Other Actions To Date.

This Site continues to meet the criteria for initiating a removal action pursuant to the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR § 300.415, and the criteria for the \$2 million limit exemption under Section 104 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9604(c). In November 2002, this action was estimated to take 90 working days to complete. Since we have encountered more contamination than anticipated, this action should take 30 additional days.

II. SITE CONDITIONS AND BACKGROUND

CERCLIS ID# IND 980 500 193
Removal Category: Time Critical

SITE ID # 0566

A. Site Description

1. Removal site evaluation

A removal assessment was conducted from February through May 2002 which documented elevated levels of hazardous substances.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

2. Physical location

The Site is located in Indiana and Illinois. The majority of the Site, however, is located in Indiana and, therefore, has an address of 3631 State Line Avenue, Hammond, Indiana.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

3. Site characteristics

This Site occupies 11 acres and is bounded by 136th Street, otherwise known as Boy Scouts Drive, and two lines of the Indiana Harbor Belt Railroad.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

4. NPL status

This Site is not on or proposed for the National Priorities List(NPL).

B. Other Actions to Date

1. Previous actions

U.S. EPA conducted removal actions in calendar years 1982 and 1984. The immediate removal action in 1982 resulted in 30 cubic yards of sludge and 5,500 gallons of contaminated liquids being disposed off-site for a total cost of \$25,478. In 1984, U.S. EPA conducted a planned removal for \$319,000. The scope of the planned removal was a surface cleanup of containerized liquids, solids, and sludges, which were stored in 69 semi-trailers, and excavation and disposal of grossly contaminated soil. For the planned removal, 5,000 gallons of hazardous waste were sent off-site for incineration and 1,345 tons of crushed drums, solid waste materials, and contaminated soils were sent to an off-site landfill. Funding for the planned removal included funds from the states of Illinois and Indiana, \$11,842 and \$19,138, respectively. In conducting these prior removal actions, U.S. EPA spent \$639,527.65 and recovered \$472,171.49. The City

of Hammond, Indiana, provided the funds for the State of Indiana.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

2. Current actions

As explained above, U.S. EPA began the time-critical removal activities outlined in the November 2002 Action Memorandum on September 28, 2005. The status of each activity as of November 28, 2005, is highlighted in *italics* as follows:

- 1) Remove and dispose of 14 drums/overpacks; *completed except for two drums labeled D001.*
- 2) Assess the integrity of the monitoring wells and abandon; *ongoing, three wells abandoned as of November 28, 2005*
- 3) Remove the scrap, tankers, and other metal debris; *completed, 500 cubic yards of scrap shipped to United Scrap.*
- 4) Excavate approximately 19,250 yds of contaminated soils²; *ongoing, 10,100 cubic yards excavated as of November 22, 2005*
- 5) Characterize waste and dispose of accordingly; *ongoing, 4,636 tons of soil shipped to Forest Lawn Landfill, Three Oaks, Michigan as of November 23, 2005.*
- 6) Restore excavated areas. *not started*

In addition to the six above activities, there have been a number of surprises that have exhausted the clean-up contractor and extramural funds. For example, two of the three tankers from the 1984 U.S. EPA removal were found to contain oily sludge, which resulted in 35 drums. A partially full 500-gallon oil tank was discovered and resulted in six drums. Drums of various sizes and different types of waste were found to be buried beyond two feet and under both east and west fence lines, which resulted in having to remove 560 feet of fence and the expenses of having the fence installed again and hiring a surveyor. A surveyor was needed because it was now apparent that the fence installed in 1984 did not follow property boundaries, such as the Indiana Harbor Railroad. A surveyor was hired to locate the south and west boundaries and identify the parcels that occupy those boundaries. The biggest surprise has been approximately 100,000 gallons of green fluorescent liquid that is pooled across the southern part of the Site.

The green fluorescent liquid was first assessed by U.S. EPA's Haz-mat ID and found to be soap and degreaser. Next, the sample was sent to an analytical laboratory and determined to be a mix of heavy metals and volatile organics. Many of the constituents exceeded the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM)'s standard default industrial closure objectives. These constituents were lead, benzene, methylene chloride, and trichloroethylene(TCE). The laboratory found the green liquid to be non-detect for ethylene

²The excavation depth is 2 feet, which is based on the latest guidance drafted by the National Technical Work Group (TRW) for lead.

glycol. According to the City of Hammond, this green liquid was known to be present as early as 1979 by IDEM and Hammond (See Administrative Record). The 1984 U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) Report documents an encounter with this green liquid in a chemical waste trap that was dug up and cleaned. The OSC report does not mention removal or disposal of the trap and the photograph of the waste trap. Photograph # 10 in the OSC report, is such poor quality the trap is not discernible. In 1997, one of the pipeline companies that has the rights to the easement on this property, Praxair, recalled working on its pipeline on the east side of the Site and encountering this green-colored looking liquid on East of the Site. Please note Praxair did not install their pipeline until 1976.

Since the green fluorescent liquid has a number of constituents and we are going into the winter season, it was deemed more practical to vacuum (vac) and haul the liquid as opposed to treating it on-site. Furthermore, given the existence of this liquid since 1979 and no reports of either recreation lake, Powderhorn or Wolf, being affected, it appears that this green liquid is mostly on-site except for Praxair's observation in 1997. In addition, in December 2004 and July 2005, IDEM sampled 17 wells installed by U.S. EPA in 1984. The results of IDEM's well sampling demonstrated that (1) groundwater flows northeast, (2) groundwater remedial action is unwarranted, (3) contaminant concentrations in the groundwater do not exceed standard default industrial (but not residential) closure objectives, and there is no evidence of off-Site contaminant migration. The wells installed by U.S. EPA in 1984 ranged in depth from 9.65 to 81.90 feet, and were considered, shallow, intermediate, and bedrock. To date, only wells that were either shallow or intermediate have been abandoned. On the north side of the Site, we have not noticed any "green" colored liquid in the pond, however, we are concerned that the "green" liquid was not detected during IDEM's recent sampling. Again, the 100,000 gallon figure is a preliminary estimate based on the surface area and depths of the pools of green liquid on the south side of the Site. This figure is anticipated to increase when we begin to use a vac truck. The current approach is to receive additional funds so when we begin to place backfill we can remove the green liquid at the same time. The backfill will consist of clay and organic topsoil that supports vegetation.

Please see the Administrative Record for the Pollution Reports (POLREPS) and other supporting documentation such as the 1984 OSC Report.

C. State and Local Authorities' Roles

1. State and local actions to date

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) performed a follow-up investigation at the Site in November 2001. This follow-up investigation involved two surface soil locations and three monitoring well locations. These locations were analyzed for Total RCRA metals, PCBs/Pests, VOCs, SVOCs. Results were as follows:

Soil Location S-1: Barium - 1,700 parts per million (ppm)
Cadmium - 93 ppm

Chromium - 1,300 ppm
Lead - 3,800 ppm

Soil Location S-2: Barium - 6,000 ppm
Cadmium - 590 ppm
Chromium - 4,600 ppm
Lead - 46,000 ppm

Results for SVOCs and VOCs were below the State of Indiana Risk Integrated System of Closure (RISC) clean-up protocols. This investigation also noted two semi-tanker trailers (empty) and approximately 8-10 drums of unknown contents. Site fencing had some openings for public access and there was evidence of trespassing.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002, and the POLREPs in the Administrative Record. To summarize the POLREPs, IDEM has arranged disposal of the tires found on the "empty" tankers and mixed with the "clean" scrap. In addition, IDEM did well sampling in 2004 and 2005 to determine the groundwater flow and impact of the Site on groundwater. Hammond helped to keep vehicular accidents to a minimum by clearing the right-of-way of trash like furniture and tall Feather Reed Grass (*Phragmites australis*), and posting signs, "Slow Down Site Work Ahead" along 136th Street. Both IDEM and Hammond have been helpful in addressing the green fluorescent liquid. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA) sent a copy of their Applicable, Relevant, and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs), which are contained in the Administrative Record.

2. Potential for continued State/local response

Following the Agency's initial removal action activities at the Site, the Site was transferred to the states for final cleanup action. A final cleanup was never conducted by either IEPA or IDEM and the Region is unaware of plans by either agency to perform a final cleanup at the Site.

III. THREAT TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR WELFARE OR THE ENVIRONMENT, AND STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

A. Threats to Public Health or Welfare

The conditions at the Site meet the criteria for a removal action as stated in the National Contingency Plan (NCP) at 40 C.F.R. § 300.415(b)(2).

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

B. Threats to the Environment

As mentioned earlier, sampling by IDEM and U.S. EPA have demonstrated widespread

contamination by various hazardous substances throughout the Site.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

IV. ENDANGERMENT DETERMINATION

Given the Site's conditions, the nature of the hazardous substances on-site and the potential exposure pathways described above, actual or threatened releases of hazardous substances from the Site, if not addressed by implementing the response action selected in this Action Memorandum, may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health, or welfare, or the environment.

Please also refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

V. EXEMPTION FROM STATUTORY LIMITS

Section 104(c) of CERCLA as amended by SARA, limits a Federal emergency response to \$2 million unless three criteria are met. This Site warrants an exemption based on the following factors:

1. There is an immediate risk to public health or welfare or the environment;

Please refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

2. Continued response actions are immediately required to prevent, limit, or mitigate an emergency;

Please refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

3. Assistance will not otherwise be provided on a timely basis.

Please refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

VI. PROPOSED ACTIONS AND ESTIMATED COSTS

The actions outlined in the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002, are same except additional funding is needed for the cleanup contractor and contingencies. Detailed cleanup contractor costs are presented in Attachment 3 and estimated project costs are summarized below:

REMOVAL PROJECT CEILING ESTIMATE

Regional Allowance Costs:	Current ² :	Increase:	Proposed:
Total Cleanup Contractor, including 15% contingency	\$1,356,900	\$462,588	\$1,819,488
Total START, including multiplier	\$121,500	\$0	\$121,500
Extramural Subtotal	\$1,478,400	\$462,588	\$1,940,988
Extramural Contingency (10%)	\$147,840	\$46,259	\$194,099
TOTAL REMOVAL PROJECT CEILING	\$1,626,240	\$508,847	\$2,135,087

Threats posed by the soils meet the criteria listed in §300.415(b)(2) of the NCP and were consistent with the removal action performed. U.S. EPA believes the actions taken will not impede any future response activity and will not require any post-removal site controls.

The response action described in this Memorandum directly addresses the contamination documented by U.S. EPA and IDEM, which poses a substantial threat to the public health, welfare, and the environment. These response actions do not impose a burden on the affected property disproportionate to the extent to which that property contributes to the conditions being addressed.

All applicable, relevant, and appropriate requirements (ARARS) will be complied with to the extent practicable. Letters requesting ARARS were sent to Illinois EPA and IDEM on October 11, 2005.

²There is a math error in the Action Memorandum dated November 2, 2002 in the extramural costs. It is \$60 too much. This error starts at the cleanup contractor subtotal, which is $\$1,179,913 + \$176,986 = \$1,356,900$ and not $\$1,356,960$, and continues. This table corrects all estimates.

VII. EXPECTED CHANGE IN THE SITUATION SHOULD ACTION BE DELAYED OR NOT TAKEN

Please refer to the Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002.

VIII. OUTSTANDING POLICY ISSUES

There are no outstanding issues.

IX. ENFORCEMENT

For administrative purposes, information concerning confidential enforcement strategy for this Site is contained in the Confidential Enforcement Addendum.

The total EPA costs for this removal action based on full-cost accounting practices that will be eligible for cost recovery are estimated to be \$ 3,476,798 ³.

$$\begin{array}{rcll} (\$ 2,135,087 + 105,840) + (55.15\% \times \$ 2,240,927) & = & \text{total EPA costs for this removal action} & \\ \$2,240,927 & + & \$ 1,235,871 & = \$ 3,476,798 \end{array}$$


X. RECOMMENDATION

This decision document represents the selected emergency action for the Calumet Container Site, located in Hammond, Lake County, Indiana, and developed in accordance with CERCLA, as amended by SARA, and is not inconsistent with the NCP. This decision is based upon the Administrative Record for this Site. Attachment 2 identifies the items that comprise the Administrative Record upon which the selection of the removal is based.

Conditions at the Site continue to meet the NCP § 300.415(b)(2) criteria for a removal and the

³Direct Costs include direct extramural costs and direct intramural costs. Indirect costs are calculated based on an estimated indirect cost rate expressed as a percentage of site-specific direct costs, consistent with the full cost accounting methodology effective October 2, 2000. These estimates do not include pre-judgement interest, do not take into account other enforcement costs, including Department of Justice costs, and may be adjusted during the course of the removal action. The estimates are for illustrative purposes only and their use is not intended to create any rights for responsible parties. Neither the lack of a total cost estimate nor deviation of actual costs from this estimate will affect the United States' right to cost recovery.

criteria for a CERCLA Section 104(b) emergency exemption from the \$2 million limit. I recommend your approval of this ceiling increase. You may indicate your decision by signing below:

APPROVE:  DATE 12-12-05
Director, Superfund Division

DISAPPROVE: _____ DATE _____
Director, Superfund Division

Confidential Enforcement Addendum

Attachments

1. Action Memorandum dated November 4, 2002 (Note: Enforcement Addendum Redacted)
2. Administrative Record Index
3. Independent Government Estimate

cc: David Chung, U.S. EPA, OERR, 5202-G

Michael Chezik, U. S. Department of Interior

Doug Scott, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

Steve Davis, Illinois Department of Natural Resources

Elizabeth Admire, Indiana Department of Environmental Management

Ryan Groves, Indiana Department of Environmental Management

Gary Doxtater, Indiana Department of Natural Resources

Ron Novak, Hammond Department of Environment Management

Maggie Rice, Chicago Department of Environment

Sadhu Johnston, Chicago Department of Environment

BCC PAGE

NOT RELEVANT TO THE SELECTION OF THE REMOVAL ACTION

(REDACTED 1 PAGE)

ENFORCEMENT CONFIDENTIAL
NOT SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY

ENFORCEMENT ADDENDUM UPDATE NO. 2 FOR ACTION MEMORANDUM

CALUMET CONTAINERS SITE
HAMMOND, LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA

DECEMBER 2005

(REDACTED 2 PAGES)

ATTACHMENT 3



U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REMOVAL ACTION

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD INDEX FOR CALUMET CONTAINER CORPORATION HAMMOND, INDIANA

ORIGINAL
NOVEMBER 5, 1990

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
1	12/15/80	Muno, W., U.S. EPA	HMERP Committee U.S. EPA	Record of Communication; STS Report Concludes Groundwater and Soils at the Site Are Contaminated	1
2	05/18/79	Herrin, D., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Jagiella, J., Steel Containers, Inc.	Application for an Installation Permit for the Drum Incinerator Afterburner Is Denied	7
3	06/04/79	Herrin, D., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Jagiella, J., Steel Containers, Inc.	Application for an Installation permit for the Drum Incinerator Afterburner Is Denied	5
4	06/20/79	Stresino, E., IN State Board Of Health	Jagiella, M., Calumet Containers Steel Container Corp.	Explanation of Reasons for Denial of Permit Applications	1
5	03/00/80	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Fact Sheet, Groundwater Chemistry Study, Steel Container Corp., Hammond, IN (2-page Report Titled "Potential Hazardous Waste Site, Tentative Disposition" Attached)	4
6	00/00/00	Hedeman Jr., W., U.S. EPA	Lavelle, R., U.S. EPA	Continuation of Removal Activities at Calumet Container Site ACTION MEMORANDUM	3
7	03/10/83	Hedeman Jr., W., U.S. EPA	Thomas, L., U.S. EPA	Authorization to Proceed with Planned Removal Action at the Calumet Containers Site in Hammond, IN ACTION MEMORANDUM (Concurrence Memos from Gene A. Lucero and Lisa K. Friedman of the U.S. EPA)	7

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
8	12/14/83	Van Cleave, H., U.S. EPA	Adamkus, V., U.S. EPA	Action Memorandum for Contract Ceiling Increase for the Planned Removal at the Calumet Container Site, Hammond, IN	3
9	06/30/83	Madany, G., U.S. EPA	U.S. EPA	On-Scene Coordinator's (OSC) Report with All Appendices	3
10	07/25/84	Bitter, P., U.S. EPA	U.S. EPA	On-Scene Coordinator's (OSC) Report, CERCLA Immediate Removal Project, Calumet Container Corporation, Hammond, IN	55
11	05/19/80	Jacobs, M., U.S. EPA	Files U.S. EPA	Pre-Trial Conference With Judge Siegan-Steel Container Corp. (Calumet Containers)	2
12	06/13/79	Schulz, D., U.S. EPA	Novak, R., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Concern Was Expressed By Mr. Novak As to What Is Being Incinerated at the Site. A Drum Was Determined to Contain Amacide, a Parathion Based Pesticide	1
13	06/23/82	Sandberg, H., Alfred Benesch & Co.	Bowers, W., City of Hammond Unsafe Bldgs.	Letter Report on the Physical Condition of the Incinerator and Stack at the Site	1
14	00/00/00	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Parcel Maps, Aerial Photographs and Property Descriptions	6
15	00/00/00	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Parcel Maps, Aerial Photographs and Property Description	6
16	11/09/82	Sanders III, W., U.S. EPA	Constantelos, B., U.S. EPA	Memo Report on Inspection of the Site to Check for Improper Management or Disposal of Hazardous Wastes and Assess Degree of Hazard at the Site	4
17	06/19/79	Singh, J. & Peterson, K., Wapora, Inc.	Jagiella, M., Calumet Containers	Phase I Report - Water Pollution Investigations for Calumet Containers	34

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
18	10/18/80	Sachs, M., Haubert, A & Kastman, K., Soil Testing Services	Chinn, H., IL Atty. General's Office	Evaluation of the Extent of Illinois Groundwater Pollution Near the Calumet Container Company, Division Of Steel Container Corporation, Hammond, IN	89
19	04/26/82	McCone, S., Dourjalian, J. Krueger, K., Ecology and Environment	Bowden, R., U.S. EPA	Letter Report on Survey and Collection of Samples at Calumet Container Company in Hammond, IN	14
20	07/30/82	Madany, G., U.S. EPA	UNKNOWN	Analytical Results from Sampling at Calumet Containers for Pesticides and PCB's	14
21	VARIOUS	Ross, C., U.S. EPA	Regan, G., U.S. EPA	Results of Samples Tested for PCB's and for Other Contaminants	53
22	00/00/00	Steel Containers, Inc.	UNKNOWN	Apparent Response to Newspaper Articles	4
23	07/12/82	Novak, R., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Elam, M., U.S. EPA	City of Hammond Concerned about Site Security in Light of Two Recent Fires at the Site	5
24	01/14/80	The Times		Newspaper Article: "EPA to Split Test Costs"	1
25	VARIOUS	VARIOUS		Series of Newspaper Articles about Activities on or About the Site	29
26	VARIOUS	VARIOUS		Series of Newspaper Articles about Site Activities	28
27	VARIOUS	VARIOUS		Various Newspaper Articles About Site Activities	14
28	04/29/82	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Photographs of Drum Labels Taken at Calumet Container	8
29	03/29/79	Herrin, D., Hammond Air Pollution Control	Schultz, D., U.S. EPA	Cover Letter from Copies of All Emission Information Relative to Calumet Containers	1

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
30	10/11/79	Chinn, H., IL Attorney General's Office	Shandross, R., U.S. EPA	Outline of a Program Proposed by the Illinois Attorney General's to Assess the Current and Potential Impact of Operations and Activities at the Site on Groundwater and Surface Water Pollution	4
31	12/19/83	Bitter, P., U.S. EPA	Roth, S., Hammond Sanitary District	Author Requests Recipient's Policy or Opinion Regarding Discharge of Rinse Water from the Site in Light of Attached Sample Results	1
32	00/00/00	Air Pollution Control Bd. of Indiana		Regulation APC7: A Regulation for setting Standards for the use of An Incinerator	3
33	00/00/00	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Emergency Action Plan	12
34	01/04/84	U.S. EPA, States of Illinois & Indiana		Superfund State Contract for Planned Removal at Calumet Container Site Between the States of IL and IN and the U.S. EPA	9
35	12/04/78	Jagiella, J., Calumet Container Steel	Karen, J., IN State Board of Health	Letters and Attached Plan to Comply with Requirements of the Indiana State Board of Health, Division of Water Pollution Control	5
36	00/00/00	UNKNOWN	UNKNOWN	Community Relations Plan	5

UPDATE #1
OCTOBER 18, 2002

1	09/00/86	U.S. EPA	File	Geophysical Investiga- tion Report of the Calumet Container Site Conducted June 2-3 and September 9-10, 1986 w/ Attachments	116
2	10/00/88	Hydroscience Associates, Inc.	IDEM	Report: Characterization of Surficial Materials and Soil Sampling Results for the Calumet Container Site	52

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
3	10/04/88	Hydroscience Associates, Inc.	IDEM	Final Report for the Calumet Container Site	97
4	09/05/96	Bynum, S., Illinois EPA	Bureau of Land Files	Memorandum re: Telephone Conversation w/ P. Colcord (IDEM) Concerning Status of the Calumet Container Site	1
5	09/13/99	Cunningham, D., Illinois EPA	Bureau of Land Files	Memorandum re: Historical Problems, Analytical Reports and Site Time Line for the Calumet Container Site	3
6	09/18/02	Aniol, M., Friends of Wolf Lake	Boos, M., Association for the Wolf Lake Initiative	Letter re: Cleanup of the Calumet Container Site	1
7	09/23/02	Kelliher, R., Calumet Ecological Park Association	Skinner, T., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Restoration of the Calumet Container Site to Wetland	1
8	09/23/02	Rosinski, A., Southeast Environmental Task Force	Skinner, T., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Restoration of Calumet Container Site to Wetland	1
9	09/26/02	Quigley, M., Cook County Board of Commissioners	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Restoration of the Calumet Container Site to Wetland Status	1
10	09/27/02	Boos, M., Association for the Wolf Lake Initiative	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	FAX Transmission Forwarding September 16, 2002 Letter from Bowen High School re: Cleanup of the Calumet Container Site	2
11	09/30/02	Cunningham, L., Southeast Chicago Development Commission	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Restoration of the Calumet Container Site to Wetland	1
12	02/00/02	U.S. EPA/OERR	U.S. EPA	Superfund Lead Contaminated Residential Sites Handbook (DRAFT)	111
13	04/00/02	Roy F. Weston, Inc.	U.S. EPA	Site Assessment Report for the Calumet Container Site (Revision 1)	120

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
14	10/00/02	Roy F. Weston, Inc.	U.S. EPA	Site Assessment and Extent of Contamination Report for the Calumet Container Site (Revision 1)	156
15	10/14/02	Roy F. Weston, Inc.	U.S. EPA	Wetland Delineation Report for the Calumet Container Site (Revision 1)	40
16	11/04/02	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Muno, W., U.S. EPA	Action Memorandum: Request to Conduct a Time-Critical Removal Action at the Calumet Container Site (PORTIONS OF THIS DOCUMENT HAVE BEEN REDACTED)	33

UPDATE #2**DECEMBER 4, 2002**

1	10/00/97	Roy F. Weston Site Assessment Technical Assistance Team	U.S. EPA	Hazard Evaluation Handbook: A Guide to Removal Actions (Fourth Edition: EPA 903/B-97-006)	167
2	11/00/02	Weston Solutions	U.S. EPA	Site Assessment and Extent of Contamination Report for the Calumet Container Site	192
3	11/06/02	U.S. EPA	File	September 30, 2002 Site Inspection Report for the Calumet Container	2

UPDATE #3**NOVEMBER 30, 2005**

1	03/20/79	Karen, J., State Board of Health, Indianapolis	Stallsmith, J., State Board of Health, Indianapolis	Memorandum re: Inspection of the Calumet Container Site	1
2	08/17/05	Groves, R., IDEM	Muraski, R., & V. Simon, U.S. EPA	Fax Transmission re: Transmittal of Ground Water Sample Results w/Cover Letter	13
3	09/16/05- 11/18/05	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Distribution List	POLREPs 1-5 for the Calumet Container Site	13

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
4	10/11/05	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Everetts, B., IL EPA & R. Groves, IDEM	Letter re: U.S. EPA's Request for Identifica- tion of all ARARs for the Calumet Container Site w/Attached Cover Sheets	6
5	10/12/05	Everetts, B., IL EPA	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Fax Transmission re: Transmittal of Illinois ARARs for Immediate Re- movals of Containers w/Cover Letter	4
6	10/24/05	Simeon, V., U.S. EPA	Addressees	Letter re: Restrictions or Limitations on Pending Excavation Work at the Calumet Container Site	2
7	10/26/05	Nachowicz, L., U.S. EPA	Distribution List	Notice of Proposed Ad- ministrative Settlement for the Calumet Container Site w/Attachments	26
8	10/28/05	Northwest Indiana News	Public	News Article: "EPA Clean- ing Up Former Container Site"	1
9	10/29/05	Zabroski, S., Northwest Indiana News	Public	News Article: "Calumet Container Cleanup Begins"	2
10	11/15/05	Groves, R., IDEM	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Fax Transmission re: Transmittal of IDEM's RISC Closure Tables and the Analytical Method for Ethylene Glycol	4
11	11/18/05	Microbac	U.S. EPA	Analytical Results for the Calumet Container Site	3
12	11/23/05	Zabroski, S., Northwest Indiana News	Public	News Article: "EPA Tests Mysterious Green Gunk"	2
13	12/12/05	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Karl, R., U.S. EPA	Action Memorandum: Request for a Ceiling Increase for the Calumet Container Site (PORTIONS OF THIS DOCUMENT HAVE BEEN REDACTED)	53

UPDATE #4
FEBRUARY 15, 2006

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
1	00/00/00	E2 Inc.	File	Drawing: September 2005 Tree Protection Plan	1
2	00/00/00	Tetra Tech EM Inc.	File	Drawing: Lead Results of Pipeline XXF Screening	1
3	01/17/03	Novak, R., Hammond Department of Environmental Management	DuPey, F., Lake County Commissioner	Letter re: Proposed Road Traversing the Calumet Container Site	2
4	04/26/04	Pucalik, K., Hammond Common Council	Mather, B., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Pollution Issues at the Calumet Container Site	3
5	05/21/04	Garl, J., U.S. EPA	Pucalik, K., Hammond Common Council	Letter re: U.S. EPA Response to April 26, 2004 Letter Concerning Various Issues at the Calumet Container Site	3
6	09/30/05	E2 Inc.	Distribution List	Memorandum re: Summary of Findings From Sept- ember 13, 2005 Interviews With Local Government Officials and Stakeholders Concerning Future Site Use Considerations at the Calumet Container Site	2
7	10/19/05	Hefner, A., E2 Inc.	Distribution List	Memorandum re: Update to September 30, 2005 Key Findings Memorandum on Removal Action Activities at the Calumet Container Site	2
8	11/23/05	<i>The Times</i>	Public	Article: "EPA Tests Mysterious Green Gunk"	2
9	12/06/05	Simon, V., A. Boseman & T. Cook, U.S. EPA	Distribution List	POLREP #6 for the Calumet Container Site	4
10	12/07/05	<i>The Times</i>	Public	Article: "EPA: Green Fluid Carcinogenic"	2

<u>NO.</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AUTHOR</u>	<u>RECIPIENT</u>	<u>TITLE/DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
11	12/22/05	Rosinski, A., Southeast Environmental Task Force	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Letter re: SETF Request for Additional Site Assessment/Sampling Work at Areas Adjacent to the Calumet Container Site	1
12	12/24/05	<i>The Times</i>	Public	Article: "Hammond Super- fund Cleanup Nearly Done"	2
13	01/03/06	Simon, V., A. Boseman & T. Cook, U.S. EPA	Distribution List	POLREP #7 for the Calumet Container Site	4
14	01/20/06	Simon, V., A. Boseman & T. Cook, U.S. EPA	Distribution List	POLREP #8 for the Calumet Container Site	4
15	01/27/06	<i>Post-Tribune</i>	Public	Article: "Toxic Site Almost Cleaned"	1
16	01/29/06	<i>The Times</i>	Public	Article: "Turning Brown To Green"	2
17	12/27/05	Carter, J., Southeast Environmental Task Force	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Letter re: SETF Request for Additional Sampling of Soils at the Calumet Container Site	1
18	01/10/06	Salinas, A., Hammond	Skinner, T., U.S. EPA	Letter re: Future Use of the Calumet Container Site	2
19	02/02/06	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Blackman, K., Indiana Harbor Belt R.R. Company	Letter re: Railroad Proto- cols for Excavation Ad- jacent to Tracks Along East Side of the Calumet Container Site	1
20	02/13/06	Simon, V., A. Boseman & T. Cook, U.S. EPA	Distribution List	POLREP #9 for the Calumet Container Site	5
21	00/00/00	Simon, V., U.S. EPA	Karl, R., U.S. EPA	Action Memorandum: Request for a Ceiling Increase for the Calumet Container Site (PENDING)	

ATTACHMENT 4

INDEPENDENT GOVERNMENT COST ESTIMATE

ENFORCEMENT ADDENDUM UPDATE NO. 3 FOR ACTION MEMORANDUM
REQUESTING CEILING INCREASE

CALUMET CONTAINERS SITE
HAMMOND, INDIANA
FEBRUARY 2006

(REDACTED 1 PAGE)

NOT RELEVANT TO THE SELECTION OF THE REMOVAL ACTION